

ACCESS TO FINANCE RWANDA

Request for Proposals

For

Analysis of the Agribusiness financial services market system and proposed agribusiness financing interventions

Subject of Procurement:	Analysis of the Agribusiness financial services market system and proposed agribusiness financing interventions
Procurement Reference Number:	AFR/RFP-AGRIBUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES/JULY/2021
Date of Issue:	19 th July 2021



19th July 2021

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NUMBER: AFR/RFP-AGRIBUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES/JULY/2021

Dear Prospective bidder:

Access to Finance Rwanda (AFR) is a Rwandan not for Profit organization, established in March 2010 by the governments of the United Kingdom (UK) and Rwanda and with support from the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO). Existing funders of AFR include FCDO, the MasterCard Foundation, Sweden and USAID.

AFR's strategic focus is stimulating financial sector development by partnering with financial institutions and other stakeholders to increase access to and use of financial services. We identify and address constraints that prevent the financial market from reaching Rwanda's low-income population, and promote innovations and learning that result in sustainable change in the financial sector. Improving access to financial services for the rural poor and women is a particular focus.

AFR is guided by the making Markets work for the Poor (M4P) approach recognising that efforts to increase financial inclusion have to be market led and profitable for sustainability. AFR supports the Government of Rwanda's development objectives by aligning all its interventions to the national policy frameworks including the Financial Sector Development Programme (FSDP) II, and the National Strategy for Transformation 2017-2024

Proposals should be directly responsive to all items, terms, conditions, specifications, and other documents referred to in this RFP.

- Requests for clarifications to the contract may be submitted until Monday 2nd August 2021
- Interested parties should submit their proposals no later than **Monday** 9th August 2021

Responding bidders are advised that this solicitation does not in any way obligate AFR to make a contract award or compensate the responding firms for any costs associated with the preparation and submission of their proposals. Additionally, AFR may award a contract without conducting negotiations; all proposals should be submitted initially using your most favourable terms. AFR reserves the right to award any resultant contract to other than the offeror submitting the lowest price proposal based on technical excellence, schedule superiority or client request.



All communications regarding this RFP should be addressed via email:procurement02@afr.rw

Below is the planned procurement schedule (subject to changes):

Activity	Date
a) Advert issued	Monday 19th July 2021
b) Request for clarifications	Monday 2 nd August 2021
c) Proposal closing date	Monday 9 th August 2021
d) Evaluation process	Thursday 12 th August 2021
e) Notification to bidders	Thursday 19 th August 2021
f) Contract Signature	Friday 27 th August 2021

Cordially,

Jean Bosco Iyacu Chief Executive Officer Access to Finance Rwanda

1.1 SECTION 1: INSTRUCTIONS TO PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS

<u>Preparation of Proposals</u>: You are requested to submit separate technical and financial proposal, as detailed below. The standard forms in this Request for Proposal may be retyped for completion but the Consultant is responsible for their accurate reproduction. You are advised to carefully read the complete Request for Proposals. An electronic copy (in PDF) of the Request for Proposals shall be considered as the original version.

<u>Technical Proposals</u>: Technical proposals should contain the following documents and information:

- 1. The Technical Proposal Submission Sheet in this Part;
- 2. An approach and methodology for performing the services;
- 3. A detailed work plan, showing the inputs of all key staff and achievement of deliverables;
- 4. CV's of key staff;
- 5. A summary of your experience in similar assignments;
- 6. The documents evidencing your eligibility, as listed below.
- 7. The consultant's comments or suggestions on the TORs and appreciation of the assignment the objectives, tasks and deliverables

<u>Financial Proposals</u>: Financial proposals should contain the following documents and information:



- 1. The Financial Proposal Submission Sheet in this Part;
- 2. A copy of the breakdown of Lump Sum Price form in this Part for each currency of your proposal, showing all costs for the assignment, broken down into professional fees, and reimbursable costs. The costs associated with the assignment shall be in US Dollars for foreign firms and Rwandan Francs for local firms.

<u>Validity of Proposals</u>: Proposals must remain valid for 90 calender days from proposal submission date.

<u>Submission of Proposals</u>: The technical and financial proposals should be submitted seperately, both clearly marked with the Procurement Reference Number above, the Consultant's name, AFR and either "Technical Proposal" or "Financial Proposal" as appropriate.

Proposals (both technical and financial) must be submitted electronically to: <u>procurement02@afr.rw</u> with clear subject line: **"Analysis of the Agribusiness financial services market system and proposed agribusiness financing interventions**." and submitted **by Monday 9th August 2021 at 5:00pm** Central African Time (CAT). *Proposals must be submitted in PDF format and as attachments to the email, any proposal submitted as a link won't be considered*.

Language of the tender and mode of communication

The medium of communication shall be in writing. The bid, as well as all correspondence and documents relating to the bid exchanged by the Bidder and AFR, shall be written in English.

Amendment to the tender document

At any time prior to the deadline for submission of bids, AFR may amend the tender document by issuing an addendum. Any addendum issued shall be part of the tender document and shall be communicated in writing via the AFR website.

To give prospective Bidders reasonable time in which to take an addendum into account in preparing their bids, AFR may, at its discretion, extend the deadline for the submission of bids; in which case all rights and obligations of AFR and Bidders previously subject to the deadline shall thereafter be subject to the deadline as extended.

Late bids

AFR shall not consider any bid that arrives after the deadline for submission of bids. Any bid received by AFR after the deadline for submission of bids shall be declared late, rejected, and returned unopened to the Bidder.



1.2 SECTION 2: ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

<u>Eligibility Criteria</u>: You are required to meet the following criteria to be eligible to participate in the procurement exercise:

- 1. have the legal capacity to enter into a contract;
- 2. not be insolvent, in receivership, bankrupt or being wound up or subject to legal proceedings for any of these circumstances;
- 3. not have had your business activities suspended;
- 4. have fulfilled your obligations to pay taxes and social security contributions;
- 5. not to have a conflict of interest in relation to this procurement requirement; and

We require you to submit copies of the following documents as evidence of eligibility attached to your bid and sign the declaration in the Technical Proposal Submission Sheet:

1. Certificate of Incorporation or Trading licence / Certificate of Registration;

2. Evidence of statutory compliance such as a valid tax clearance certificate and social security contributions certificate.

3. Signed copy of code of ethical conduct in business for bidders.

NOTE: Failure to submit the above required documents will lead to disqualification from Technical and Financial evaluation

1.3 SECTION 3: EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

<u>Evaluation of Proposals</u>: The evaluation of Proposals will use the **Quality Cost Based** methodology as detailed below:

- 1. Preliminary examination to determine eligibility (as defined below) and administrative compliance to this Request for Proposals on a pass/fail basis;
- 2. Detailed Technical evaluation will contribute 80%;
- 3. Financial scores will be allocated 20% to determine the best evaluated bid.

Proposals failing any stage will be eliminated and not considered in subsequent stages.

<u>Technical Criteria</u>: Proposals shall be awarded scores out of the maximum number of points as indicated below.



<u>Minimum technical score</u>: The mark required to pass the technical evaluation is 56 out of 80 which is 70% of the Technical Score

Financial Criteria:

Pricing information should not appear in any other section of the proposal other than the financial proposal.

Financial scores shall be determined by awarding a maximum of 20 points to the lowest priced proposal that has passed the minimal technical score and giving all other proposals a score which is proportionate to this.

<u>Total scores</u>: Total scores shall be determined using a weighting of 80% for technical proposals and a weighting of 20% for financial proposals.

4. <u>Currency</u>: Proposals should be priced in **Rwandan Francs** for local firms and **US Dollars** for foreign firms.

<u>Best Evaluated Bid</u>: The best evaluated bid shall be the firm with the highest combined score and shall be recommended for award of contract.

<u>Right to Reject</u>: AFR reserves the right to accept or reject any proposal or to cancel the procurement process and reject all proposals at any time prior to contract signature and issue by AFR, without incurring any liability to Consultants.

AFR reserves the right, at its sole discretion, to reject all proposals received and seek fresh proposals, to negotiate further with one or more of the bidders, to defer the award of a contract or to cancel the competition and make no contract award, if appropriate.

1.4 SECTION 4: TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SUBMISSION SHEET

[Complete this form with all the requested details and submit it as the first page of your technical proposal, with the documents requested above attached. Ensure that your technical proposal is authorised in the signature block below. A signature and authorisation on this form will confirm that the terms and conditions of this RFP prevail over any attachments. If your proposal is not authorised, it may be rejected.]

Proposal Addressed to:	Access to Finance Rwanda
Date of Technical Proposal:	
Procurement Reference Number:	
Subject of Procurement:	

We offer to provide the services described in the Statement of Requirements, in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in your Request for Proposals referenced above.



We confirm that we are eligible to participate in public procurement and meet the eligibility criteria specified in Part 1: Proposal Procedures of your Request for Proposals.

We have signed and undertake to abide by the Code of Ethical Conduct for Bidders and Providers attached during the procurement process and the execution of any resulting contract;

Our proposal shall be valid until ______ [insert date, month and year] and it shall remain binding upon us and may be accepted at any time before or on that date;

I/We enclose a separately sealed financial proposal.

Technical Proposal Authorised By:

Name:	
Date:	(DD/MM/YY)
	Date:

CODE OF ETHICAL CONDUCT IN BUSINESS FOR BIDDERS AND PROVIDERS

1. Ethical Principles

- Bidders and providers shall at all times-
- (a) maintain integrity and independence in their professional judgement and conduct;
- (b) comply with both the letter and the spirit of
 - i. the laws of Rwanda; and
 - ii. any contract awarded.
- (c) avoid associations with businesses and organisations which are in conflict with this code.

2. Standards

Bidders and providers shall-

- (a) strive to provide works, services and supplies of high quality and accept full responsibility for all works, services or supplies provided;
- (b) comply with the professional standards of their industry or of any professional body of which they are members.

3. Conflict of Interest

Bidders and providers shall not accept contracts which would constitute a conflict of interest with, any prior or current contract with AFR. Bidders and providers shall



disclose to all concerned parties those conflicts of interest that cannot reasonably be avoided or escaped.

4. Confidentiality and Accuracy of Information

- (1) Information given by bidders and providers in the course of procurement processes or the performance of contracts shall be true, fair and not designed to mislead.
- (2) Providers shall respect the confidentiality of information received in the course of performance of a contract and shall not use such information for personal gain.

5. Gifts and Hospitality

Bidders and providers shall not offer gifts or hospitality directly or indirectly, to staff of AFR that might be viewed by others as having an influence on a government procurement decision.

6. Inducements

- (1) Bidders and providers shall not offer or give anything of value to influence the action of a public official in the procurement process or in contract execution.
- (2) Bidders and providers shall not ask a public official to do anything which is inconsistent with the Act, Regulations, Guidelines or the Code of Ethical Conduct in Business.

7. Fraudulent Practices

Bidders and providers shall not-

- (a) collude with other businesses and organisations with the intention of depriving AFR of the benefits of free and open competition;
- (b) enter into business arrangements that might prevent the effective operation of fair competition;
- (c) engage in deceptive financial practices, such as bribery, double billing or other improper financial practices;
- (d) misrepresent facts in order to influence a procurement process or the execution of a contract to the detriment of AFR; or utter false documents;
- (e) unlawfully obtain information relating to a procurement process in order to influence the process or execution of a contract to the detriment of the AFR;
- (f) withholding information from the Procuring Disposing Entity during contract execution to the detriment of the AFR.

I agree to comply with the above code of ethical conduct in business.

AUTHORISED SIGNATORY

NAME OF CONSULTANT



1.5 SECTION 5: FINANCIAL PROPOSAL SUBMISSION SHEET

[Complete this form with all the requested details and submit it as the first page of your financial proposal, with the documents requested above attached. Ensure that your proposal is authorised in the signature block below. A signature and authorisation on this form will confirm that the terms and conditions of this RFP prevail over any attachments. If your proposal is not authorised, it may be rejected. The total price of the proposal should

be expressed in the currency or currencies permitted in the instructions above.]

Proposal Addressed :	AFR
Date of Financial Proposal:	
Procurement Reference Number:	
Subject of Procurement:	

The total price of our proposal is: ______.

We confirm that the rates quoted in our Financial Proposal are fixed and firm for the duration of the validity period and will not be subject to revision or variation.

Financial Proposal Authorised By:

Signature:		Name:	
Position:		Date:	(DD/MM/YY)
Authorised for and on behalf of:			(22)
Company:			
Address:			

Breakdown of Prices



[Complete this form with details of all your costs and submit it as part of your financial proposal. Where your costs are in more than one currency, submit a separate form for each currency. Authorise the rates quoted in the signature block below.]

Procurement Reference Number:

CURRENCY OF COSTS: _____

PROFESSIONAL FEES							
Name and Personnel	Position	of	Input Quantity	Unit of Input	Rate	Total Price	Total Price (inclusive taxes)
TOTAL:							

REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES						
Description of CostQuantityUnitof MeasureUnit PriceTotal Price						
TOTAL:						

	LUMPSUM EXPENSES				
Description of Cost	Quantity	Unit of Measure	Unit Price	Total Price	Total Price (Inclusive taxes)
Perdiem to cover meals; (communication, local transport, laundry and any other incidentals)		Days			
TOTAL:					

TOTAL COST PRICE IN CURRENCY: _____

Breakdown of total price Authorised By:		
Signature	Name:	
Position:	Date:	(DD/MM/YY)
Authorised for and on behalf of:		
Compay:		



TERMS OF REFERENCE

Analysis of the Agribusiness financial services market system and proposed agribusiness financing interventions

Background

About Access to Finance Rwanda (AFR)

Access to Finance Rwanda (AFR) is a Rwandan not for profit organization established in March 2010 by the governments of the United Kingdom (UK) and Rwanda with support from the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO). Current (2021) funders of AFR include FCDO, SIDA (Sweden), the MasterCard Foundation, and Jersey Overseas Aid (JOA).

AFR's strategic focus is to facilitate financial sector development by partnering with financial service providers (FSPs) and other public and private stakeholders to increase access to and use of quality financial services by low-income populations and to support Rwanda's economic growth and promote innovations and learning that result in sustainable expansion of the financial sector. AFR identifies constraints that prevent the financial sector from serving Rwanda's low-income population and identifies opportunities to intervene to improve access to and use of financial services by the rural poor, women, youth and MSMEs.

In particular, AFR is committed to ensuring women benefit equally to men in all that it does. Women's economic participation helps drive inclusive and sustainable economic growth at a national level and reduce poverty within communities and households. Societies that make better use of the skills, talents and time of all members will more likely prosper. Women are often more likely than men to use income to support development outcomes within their families. Increasing women's earnings can strengthen their hand in decision-making in their households.

AFR recognizes that effective programmes need to proactively support economic empowerment of women; assuming that market systems and/or efforts to facilitate change in market systems are 'gender neutral' is not sufficient. To successfully close the ongoing gender gap and increase women's financial inclusion and participation in the economy, it is necessary to intervene in a gender intentional manner if Women's Economic Empowerment (WEE) is to be achieved. Consequently, AFR aims to facilitate the following five elements of WEE throughout the programme cycle (Jones, 2016):

- 1. Economic advancement increased income and return on labour
- 2. Access to opportunities and life chances such as skills development or job openings
- 3. Access to assets, services and needed supports to advance economically
- 4. Decision-making authority in different spheres including household finances
- 5. Manageable workloads for women

These five elements fall under two categories – access and agency. Considering both enable us to differentiate between what is or could be available to women (access), and the socio-cultural dimensions that may deter women from taking advantage of opportunities (agency). AFR aims to increase women's access as well as agency in all its interventions.



AFR is guided by the Market System's Development (MSD) approach which recognises that efforts to increase financial inclusion and financial sector development must be led by market system actors for change to be sustainable. AFR supports the Government of Rwanda's development objectives by aligning its interventions to the national policy frameworks including the Financial Sector Development Programme, and the National Strategy for Transformation (NST) 2017-2024.

About the Agribusiness Sector in Rwanda

The agriculture sector is a major source of income and growth for Rwanda; it accounts for close to 70 percent of employment, more than 30 percent of Gross Domestic Product (GDP), and more than 50 percent of exports. Considerable government effort has been devoted to developing the sector.

Under the National Strategy for Transformation (NST1), the agriculture sector is identified as one of the key priority sectors and is projected to maintain a relatively stable average growth rate of 5.7% per year from 2017 to 2024. Developing stronger linkages with both domestic and export markets will allow for larger supplies of domestic agricultural products to be used as inputs, especially for agro-processing. Furthermore, increases in agricultural efficiency may facilitate labour movement out of agriculture towards the higher value-added industry and services sectors.

The growth of agriculture in Rwanda has been highly dependent on a structured system where cooperative societies are used to train farmers and provide a ready market. The success of the agricultural sector in Rwanda has been heavily reliant on companies that have made it possible for farmers to ready their produce for the market e.g.: tea factories, coffee exporters, oil producers, maize processing plants, cassava processing plants, etc.

Furthermore, according to a study by the Food and Agricultural Organization (2010), if women had the same access to productive resources as men, they could increase yields on their farms by 20- 30 percent. This could raise total agricultural output in developing countries by 2.5-4 percent, which could in turn reduce the number of hungry people in the world by 12-17 percent.

Development of the agribusiness sector requires investments to improve on-farm productivity, post-harvest practices, agro-processing, and trade and marketing of agricultural commodities. In Rwanda, some banks and other financial institutions have made attempts to finance agribusinesses with limited success. It is evident based on past performance; the financial sector lacks the incentives and capacities to target and serve agribusinesses leading to severe financing constraints resulting in limited agro-processing and thus competitiveness in the market.

AFR has made a strong commitment to continue efforts aimed at sustainably unlocking agribusiness finance, building on achievements made in AFR's first two Phases (2011-2021). AFR has identified the agribusiness sector as a key sector to contribute to economic growth, enhanced incomes and job creation. However, this can only happen if appropriate financing is provided. Current investment levels are low, in part because the sector is perceived as risky and yielding unattractive returns. Traditional and innovative sources of financing at the enterprise level as well as mobilization of large-scale resources for commercial agro-processing, will be a key contributor to agribusiness development in Rwanda.

In order to effectively support financial services and capital investment in the agribusiness sector, AFR requires a deep understanding of the sector including financing constraints on the



demand- and supply-sides, the policy, legal, and regulatory constraints, and the availability and appropriateness of supporting functions.

It is against this background that AFR is seeking the services of an experienced firm/consultant to conduct a market system analysis of the agriculture financing sector. From this assessment, AFR is looking for recommendations on how it could best intervene to increase access, uptake and use of financial services for agribusinesses.

Objectives of the consultancy

The overall objective of this assignment is to conduct a market system analysis of the agribusiness financing sector in Rwanda including:

- Demand
- Supply
- Rules (policy, legal, regulatory; informal rules including norms)
- Supporting functions including information, infrastructure, capacity building, capital, and other supporting functions

to provide clear guidance for AFR to design impactful interventions that facilitate increased access, uptake and use of financial services within the Rwanda's agribusiness subsector.

Scope of work

The firm/consultant will conduct a market systems' analysis to identify constraints and opportunities to increased access and use of financial services by smallholder farmers, Agrirelated SMEs and other value chain actors in the agribusiness sector in Rwanda and provide recommendations for AFR's potential interventions including developing a Theory of Change. In addition, the firm/consultant will outline a clear exit strategy for each recommended intervention for AFR as well as a high-level measurement plan and learning questions.

Agribusiness financing market system analysis

The agribusiness financing market system analysis should include a description of the core (demand and supply) and a mapping of the supporting functions and rules to determine the required functions in the system, who is doing and paying for these functions (including identifying missing functions), and an assessment of how well these supporting functions and rules are performing to enable more transactions in the core.

Specifically, the firm will:

- Identify value chains/crops with the strongest potential for agribusiness sector development and job creation opportunities
- Conduct a market system analysis/study to understand the specific constraints and opportunities to increase access to and use of financial services in the agriculture sector.
 - Analyse the financial service needs of different participants in the agribusiness sector/value chains with the strongest potential for agribusiness sector development



and job creation opportunities. These could include, for example, farmers, processors, buyers, traders, wholesalers, aggregators, transporters, storage providers, etc., and the degree to which these needs are being met by existing financial service providers (formal and informal);

- Analyse the supply of financial services in the agribusiness sector and how important this sector is to FSPs and others that provide financing e.g., wholesalers, aggregators, contract farming arrangements, and identify the constraints to greater outreach;
- Identify the supporting functions, including any missing functions, required to support sustainable growth of financial services to agribusinesses and analyse the performance;
- Identify rules including relevant policies and regulations and other formal rules that influence the provision of financial services to the agriculture sector and analyse whether they support or hinder increased access and use;
- Identify informal rules social norms and other cultural factors that influence the provision of financial services to the agriculture sector and analyse if there are opportunities to influence change.
- Map existing interventions by the Government and development agencies supporting the agribusiness sector and identify potential synergies and those that may undermine AFR's efforts.
- Identify potential opportunities and entry points for AFR in the market system to effectively facilitate an expansion of Rwanda's agribusiness financing system
- Examine the capacity and incentives of FSPs and others that provide financing in meeting the demand for financial services by value chain actors in agribusiness;
- Examine the capacity and incentives of the support service providers (public and private sector institutions e.g.: payment platforms, agent networks, data management) in meeting demand for agribusiness finance in Rwanda;
- Examine the capacity and incentives of the rule makers (policymakers, regulators) to ensure an enabling environment for agribusiness financing

Throughout the market system analysis, it is expected that the firm/consultant will map the gendered barriers that inhibit women from benefitting fully from Agri financial systems and outline efforts to leverage finance for women's economic empowerment and lessons from related research and practice across the agribusiness sector including:

- 1. Documenting the market dynamics around women's financial inclusion and economic empowerment in the agribusiness financing market;
- 2. Documenting and reviewing existing efforts to increase access to, use of and benefits from financial services by women in this sector; and
- 3. Identifying opportunities for AFR to better incorporate gender into its agribusiness financing interventions and design projects with gender transformational objectives in line with AFR's phase III strategy.



The gender analysis should also ensure an understanding of gender norms that impact women's ability to access financial services, and to earn, be productive, build assets, and engage in decision making within the agribusiness sector.

Detailed guidance on what should be contained in the market system analysis is provided in Annex 1.

Intervention Framework and AFR's Role

Based on the market system analysis, and in particular the final section "**Systemic Change and Sustainability Analysis**" the firm/consultant will describe the broad intervention areas AFR might consider and indicate the types of activities that would be expected to catalyse change (link to priority constraints). Document any key assumptions being made, envisaged risks and provide a list of mitigation strategies that might be considered.

Describe AFR's role in facilitating the articulated systemic changes including the overall facilitation role AFR plays including convening and catalysing action and behaviour change. Describe key stakeholders and how AFR will engage/catalyse behaviour change. Provide a brief description of anticipated Projects that will address priority constraints. *Note these Projects show up on the bottom level of the Programme Theory of Change discussed below.*

It is expected that the firm will provide details on:

- Who are the envisaged key partners for each intervention? (Noting if key partners will also take on multiple activities)?
- What is their role in the sector/ intervention?
- What are their current, and expected, capacities and incentives of system actors to take on and continue this role(s)?
- How do interventions consider partners' capacities and incentives?
- The envisaged partnership agreement and clarification on how AFR's 'offer is appropriate relative to market players relationships, their ownership over the change process and the intensity and timing of AFR's support.

Theory of Change

This is a theory that sets out explicitly how systemic change is expected to be achieved and it is essential for a market system monitoring framework. The Theory of Change (ToC) provides the overarching logic for AFR's interventions in the agribusiness financing sector and provides an indication of the specific contribution each of intervention is expected to make at each level of the ToC.

Contribution/assumptions: Briefly state the hypothesis contribution and assumptions lying behind the ToC levels i.e., how do changes in the selected areas of systemic change relate to changes at the financial system level? What assumptions are being made about how change will happen?

List the intermediate outcomes expected that will lead to system change, that is, the initial, necessary changes in incentives, capacities and relationships which indicate that actors are moving toward adopting a behaviour change, contributing to the system change as articulated.



Note: This is reflected in the intermediate outcomes level in the Theory of Change which is a critical link between 'interventions' (Projects) and the system change envisaged. Intermediate outcomes will be fleshed out in more detail in Project Plans as they are developed.

Based on assumptions and hypothesis, the theory of change will articulate the expected pathway from AFR Projects to intermediate outcomes to systemic changes contributing to increased financial inclusion and positive impact. The focus here is to outline how AFR facilitation efforts will lead to the development of a well-functioning system that benefits the target group.¹ Below is a generic theory of change for an inclusive financial system.



Inclusive Financial System Theory of Change

Source: Based on CGAP's Funders Training 'A Systemic Approach to Financial Inclusion'

Exit Strategy

Clearly outline if AFR will temporarily do and/or pay for a support function or rule in the short term i.e., market research, sector coordination, etc. Clarify if the role is temporary and therefore not required in the long-term for the system to function (i.e., an activity for a limited period of time to inform an intervention, or catalyse a reaction from market players, such as a 'willingness to pay' survey, or a piece of research to highlight a potential business case) or if it is an ongoing function that requires market system actors to do and pay for in the long-term. Describe the vision and process for how AFR will ensure the role will be taken on by market actors.

Monitoring and Results Measurement (MRM)

The firm/consultant will outline at a high-level the indicators that will be used to measure progress and how AFR interventions will be monitored and managed. In particular, the MRM process should be used as a management tool to test assumptions and to track progress (along

¹ Developing the theory of change is an iterative process as analysis and intervention lead to increased understanding and help establish whether linkages between Projects and intended impacts are plausible.



the programme Theory of Change) and to ensure the assumptions in the Theory of Change hold true.

The firm/consultant will also define key learning questions and recommend how information for each question will be gathered. Provide an overview of specific research studies/impact evaluations and clarify if larger studies are anticipated to be done in partnership with market actors or other development partners. If so, what is the value of the partnership and has sustainability (i.e., ability to update findings, or to complete future studies without development support) been considered.

Expected Deliverables

Activity	Deliverable
Kick off meeting and agreement on approach and methodology	Inception Report
Review secondary sources on the agribusiness sector and agribusiness financing in Rwanda including examples of successful market system facilitation/development in Rwanda and elsewhere. Conduct the market system analysis through consultations with market system actors (agribusiness value chain actors, financial service providers, regulators, policy makers, infrastructure service providers, etc.) through interviews to understand the prevailing primary and secondary market constraints including specific to women. Submit a draft report and present to AFR management an overview of the market system, the constraints and opportunities, leading to discussion of potential areas for AFR intervention.	 Draft market system analysis report summarizing key findings and analysis based on the guidance provided in Annex 1 for the following sections of the scope of work outlined above: Demand-side analysis Supply-side analysis Policy, legal, regulatory analysis Supporting functions analysis Presentation of preliminary findings to management
Review feedback on the draft analysis, revise and update the market system analysis based on feedback.	Final market diagnostic report summarising findings on demand, supply, policy, legal and regulatory and market infrastructure, functions, priority market constraints and potential areas for AFR intervention.
Based on discussions with AFR management and key market actors including an analysis of potential partners incentives and capacities to change, submit a draft report providing recommendations for AFR potential interventions, a Theory of Change,	Draft report on potential AFR agribusiness financing intervention framework with clear AFR's facilitation role, Theory of Change, sustainability and exit strategy, MRM plan, learning agenda.

The following deliverables are expected from this assignment:



a proposed exit strategy for each recommended intervention and a high-level measurement plan and learning questions.	
Present to AFR management for discussion and feedback and finalise the intervention s framework	Final agribusiness financing intervention framework Presentation to AFR management
Combine the final market system analysis report summarising functions, rules, priority market constraints and areas for AFR intervention and the final agribusiness financing interventions framework	Final market system analysis report and agribusiness financing interventions framework

Timeframe

It is estimated the level of effort for the firm/consultant is **45 working days for the scoping of agribusiness financing constraints and design of associated interventions framework** and will start in **August 2021.**

Required competences and qualifications

Proposals are invited from suitably qualified firms. Applicants are required to provide profiles for all proposed consultants, clearly stating their roles and responsibilities, level of effort, and including their technical expertise and practical experience. Key qualifications for this work include:

Consultant competences and qualifications

- Minimum 10 years of experience in the design of intervention frameworks/ programmes in the agribusiness financing sector;
- Experience in engaging in market system analysis and market systems strategy work;
- Strong knowledge and understanding of Market Systems Development/Making Markets Work for the Poor (M4P) Approach
- Strong programme design skills, including capacity to prepare coherent and concise reports;
- In-depth knowledge and experience in the agriculture and financial sector of Rwanda and broad experience in the same in East Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa;
- Strong understanding of the local/regional context of the agriculture finance sector as well as regulatory frameworks;
- Track record in conducting similar assignments in developing countries;
- Knowledge and understanding of inclusive finance and financial sector development;
- Experience working with MSD programmes, particularly on agribusiness finance programming, is an advantage.
- Good communication, facilitation skills and ability to use participatory approach to collect and make use of the most relevant inputs from stakeholders;
- Preference will be given to proposals that: a) interpret the Terms of Reference appropriately and b) recommend approaches of achieving this assignment in the most



meaningful and cost-effective way;

- Strong analytical and reporting skills in English;
- Experience in understanding of building inclusive financial sectors.

Team Composition (Indicative)

Key Personnel	Qualifications	Work and Leadership Experience:		
Qualified and Experienced	Preferably an	Work and Leadership Experience: At		
Agribusiness/Agriculture	advanced degree	least 10 years demonstrable leadership		
Finance Expert or MSD	(Masters or	and work experience in development		
Expert (Team Leader)	equivalent) in	finance and project design/evaluation.		
	Economics,	Should at least have held a senior		
	Agriculture	leadership/management position on		
	Economics,	related projects.		
	Development Finance,	Project Management Experience: At		
	Business or Finance	least 7 years demonstrable experience in		
		designing MSD projects ideally in		
		agribusiness finance in the African		
		region.		
		Market systems development:		
		Understand market system developmen		
		approach and its application in designing		
		impactful and inclusive programmes		
Economists	A Masters' degrees in	Work experience: At least 7 years'		
(Macroeconomic and	Economics/	demonstrable experience in economic		
Behavioural Economists)	Agriculture Economics	research, policy development and		
	with specialization in	analysis especially focused on		
	Development and	agricultural investments. Work		
	Financial Economics	experience in a development finance		
		institution, investment fund, banking,		
		impact investing, economic policy think-		
		tank/research organization, or economic		
		policy development and analysis will		
		have an added advantage. Research		
		publications specific to increasing access		
		to agri-SME finance advantageous.		



Evaluation Criteria

Technical Evaluation		Weighting
	At least 8 years' experience carrying out primary research, especially with qualitative and quantitative methods such as in-depth interviews and focus groups with low-income communities and MSMEs.	5
Specific experience of the firm related to the assignment	Strong understanding of agribusiness financing and strong experience (at least 5 years) carrying out diagnostics in agribusiness financing.	5
	Demonstrated experience and ability to deliver in this area (evidenced by previous assignments, publications, etc.)	5
	References of at least three (3) similar projects in Sub Saharan Africa	
Subtotal		15
	Quality of the team Leader.	
	Experience (at least 10 years) in managing research teams and field level implementation of agribusiness financing diagnostics in in Sub Saharan Africa. A detailed CV is required.	15
	Quality of the remaining members of the proposed team.	
Quality of the proposed team	Strong dedicated team with proven subject matter expertise (at least 7 years) on topics in this assignment, specifically research, agribusiness financing, agribusiness financing market constraints identification and analysis and experience in Agri-SMEs financing interventions design. Detailed CVs required.	10
	Excellent report writing skills and fluency in English is required.	
	Team member(s) with understanding of Kinyarwanda to be able to effectively interact with the demand side.	
Subtotal		25
Expertise/strong understanding of	Demonstrated expertise in programme design with a market systems development approach.	5
market systems development	Demonstrated expertise/strong understanding of agribusiness financing analysis and integrating analysis findings into programme design with a market systems development approach.	10
Subtotal		15
Methodology & Approach	Understanding of the ToR and comments on them, including any suggested amendments	5
	Adequacy and quality of the proposed technical approach, methodology and work plan in responding to these Terms of Reference.	20
Subtotal		25
Financial Evaluation		
Financial Evaluation	Value for money (based on fee rates, inputs and total costs of the assignment)	20
Total	1	100

Note: Local firms and joint ventures between local and international firms are encouraged to submit their bids.



ANNEX 1: Market System Analysis Framework

1. Map the Market System

The firm/consultant will develop a 'system' diagram outlining the required market functions in the agribusiness sector. Note these are all functions required on a permanent basis for the market system to work effectively and sustainably.

See diagram below for a high-level overview of the main, generic functions in a financial market system (each of which can be seen as systems in their own right) and revise it to include the functions specific to the agribusiness financing market. Note the list below is not exhaustive. Supporting functions and rules could both be subdivided into further, related functions such as research, advocacy, product development, digital policies, etc.

- The market core: transactions between supply and demand and the benefits derived from these
- Capacity-building/process development: services and investment in organisational change and development, including staff skills and knowledge
- Capital: funding provided on a market basis to, for example, financial service providers for on-lending (note this does <u>not</u> refer to donor/development funding)
- Infrastructure: shared public mechanisms and services that bring a collective benefit to the market, such as the collateral registry or switch
- Information: data analyses and research providing information on financial service needs, trends, impacts and emerging issues

SUPPORT **FUNCTIONS** Capital Infrastructure Capacity Information building Market Core S D Cons Informal rules/ Policy and incentives regulation RULES

Financial market system

- Policy and regulation: the formal rules shaping the market, usually developed and enforced by government
- Informal rules and incentives: the underlying informal rules that shape the behaviour and incentives of key players and feed into every aspect of the system

1. Analyse the Core

Demand

This will involve a detailed analysis of the data for agribusiness and focus on understanding and determining the effective demand for financial services (credit, savings, insurance, payments). The firm/consultant will document and analyse the demand for financial services for all actors involved across the value chain. An emphasis should be put on understanding the constraints that hinder their access and usage of financial services to meet optimal productivity and increased incomes.



A strong demand side analysis should focus on understanding the financial service needs of producers (smallholder farmers and larger producers), off takers, processors, etc. as key actors in agriculture value chains. They need a range of financial services for investments and working capital to be able to operate at an optimal level; the firm/consultant will establish gaps in terms of accessing appropriate financial products and services from FSPs and other providers (e.g., wholesalers).

A key focus should be to understand the following:

- Are the products and services meeting the needs of the various value chain actors?
- Are they convenient and affordable?
- Does using the products or services benefit the agribusiness sector actors?
- Does using them improve or change market norms and perceptions for the better?

Supply

The supply side analysis will mainly focus on assessing the suitability of available products in the market vis-a-vis constraints within which producers and off takers operate in and identify what is not working. For example, the agricultural sector is perceived as highly risky due to many factors including limited understanding of the sector by financiers. Lenders find financing agricultural SMEs difficult due to external risks (e.g., price volatility, extreme weather, and government regulations), business risks (e.g., limited management capacity and financial records), misalignment between their standard products and the financing needs of Agri-MSMEs. There is a need to analyse the incentives necessary to stimulate risk appetite among FSPs.

As part of the supply-side analysis the firm/consultant will describe in a brief profile the number and type of providers (including non-traditional finance providers such as wholesalers or other actors in the value chain, the products and services available, and delivery channels. Highlight any providers that are particularly innovative, are considered market leaders in serving target group, or operate a different business model to the norm.

The firm will also provide an assessment of how the core (demand and supply interaction) is functioning: Are the number of providers adequate? Is the market competitive or is there market concentration? What is the rate of new entry? The rate of innovation?

It will also assess the feasibility of improving the supply to better meet the needs of the target group i.e., the existence of potential partners including signs of sufficient interest.

2. Assess the Supporting Functions and Rules

Market system analysis and performance assessment is always a 'judgement' based on information and insights. The following are indicative questions to think through:

- Are there functions/rules missing that are needed for the system to perform well?
- Are the functions/rules in place but not being performed well by current market actors?
- Are the functions/rules being performed adequately but are not sustainable in the long term i.e., are development actors doing and/or paying?
- Are the functions/rules encouraging or discouraging reaching scale?



Are there other relevant performance issues?

Supporting Functions

The 'supporting functions' are a range of functions that support the core exchange between demand and supply and help the market to develop and grow including, for example, research and development, infrastructure, skills and capacity, and supporting services.

This will involve mapping all supporting functions that are required for the agribusiness financing market to operate effectively and sustainably.

Supporting Function	Description

For each supporting function, list the market actors (or development actors) who are doing ("who does") and paying for ("who pays") the function. Include any required support functions that are missing. Note there may be different actors doing or paying for the same function, for example: the support function "training and capacity building" might be delivered by an industry association (paid for by members through member dues), or a financial consultancy firm (paid for by financial service providers).

After determining all the required support functions and who currently does and pays for the function, assess how well each support function is currently being performed. If a development actor (i.e., a donor, AFR, international or local NGO reliant on donor-funding, etc.) is doing or paying, this will need to be addressed as it is not sustainable. Summarise in the table below:

Supporting Function	Who does	Who pays	Assessment

Rules

The 'rules' are the regulations, standards, laws and cultural norms and practices that act to shape market outcomes and govern participation and behaviour in markets. Formal providers of rules are commonly governments or membership organizations. Informal rules are generally a product of local culture and value systems and practices.

List the *rules* and provide a brief description of each. <u>This should include all desirable rules</u> whether or not they are currently present.

Rules and norms	Description
-----------------	-------------



For each rule, list the market actors (or development actors) who formulate and enforce the rule, and pay for enforcement (note that only formal rules will require financial resources for enforcement). Include any required rules that are missing. *Example: a code of conduct in the credit market system might be formulated by an industry association, with voluntary "enforcement" by financial providers.*

Once a complete list has been developed, for each rule/norm, assess how well the rule/norm is currently performing. If a development actor is doing or paying, this needs to be addressed as it is not sustainable. Summarise in the table below:

Rule	Who does	Who pays	Assessment

3. Determine the Constraints

Once the market system has been mapped and the performance of the system assessed, the next step is to determine the constraints. What are the constraints in the market system and what are the root causes of these constraints? Identify issues in the "supporting functions" or "rules" that constitute binding **constraints** to improved performance in delivering financial services in the agribusiness sector. For example, this could include a desirable support function that is missing, or a key rule that is not well formulated or enforced. Any supporting functions or rules that are being performed by or paid for by a development actor would constitute a systemic constraint.

Primary or priority Constraints:

Identify the primary or priority constraints (supporting function or rule) that are keeping the system from performing well for the target group (i.e., what are the key things holding back supply-demand?). Explain why the selected constraints are a priority, i.e., they may affect other supporting functions or reduce outreach. *Note: constraints are differentiated from 'problems' that the target group is facing. Priority constraints are those areas where AFR expects to catalyse 'systemic change'. Constraints are specific to a supporting function or rule that is not performing well, not supply or demand.*

Secondary constraints:



State any secondary constraints to be kept on a 'watch-list' and clarify why. These are areas that are important but not prioritized for some reason. For example:

- Sequencing issues (i.e., something else is priority and needs to happen BEFORE another constraint is addressed such as a regulation passed, or the market is too nascent and needs to develop further before a constraint is addressed).
- Other players are currently active so AFR's 'value added' as a facilitator may experience too much 'distortion' at this time.
- Issues outside the scope of AFR's intervention due to complexity, budget, mandate or timeframes (i.e., roads, electricity, political economy).
- Other issues as deemed relevant

Once the systemic constraints have been identified, provide an analysis of 'why things are the way they are', that is, *what are the root or underlying causes of the constraint*? Analysis should consider:

- The capacity or incentives of market actors (why market actors behave as they do or are resistant to changes in behaviour)
- Any contextual issues contributing to the constraint (i.e., geographic issues).
- Any political economy issues relative to change i.e., who is interested or against the change AFR envisages? How powerful and influential are these players?

Note: The end-point of the analysis is to arrive at the underlying causes of under-performance in the sector that, if addressed by AFR, will lead to sustainable, systemic change in performance. Without addressing the underlying causes for a constraint, change will not be long-lasting and thus not benefit the target group in the long-term (i.e., neither sustainability nor scale will be achieved). Note: in the intervention's framework, constraints and associated solutions should be clearly identified, then Projects will be developed to address the primary constraints.

4. Systemic Change and Sustainability Analysis:

The firm/consultant will provide a brief analysis of how the supporting function or rule *could* work if the priority constraints are reduced or eliminated (the systemic change) and determine if there is 'space to intervene' for AFR i.e., no other programmes working in the same area with initiatives that may be 'distorting'; favourable political and industry support of change in general; timeframe for change to occur is reasonable given AFR's engagement. It will also outline "*potential for scale and sustainability*": are there market actors that might have willingness, incentives and capacity to make the market work better? Will large numbers of the target group be reached/better served?

It is vital to consider *sustainability* through developing a vision for 'who will do and who will pay' for the constraint areas (support functions/rules) for the future. Summarize the thinking behind the business model if commercial returns are required for systemic change to be achieved, i.e., ensure the costs/revenues make sense, or consider the incentives for public or non-profit provision of a service. Complete the table for each constraint area to identify the appropriate/feasible market actors that AFR might work with to facilitate system change.



Constraint (SF/Rule)	Who does	Who pays	Who <i>will</i> do	Who will pay